LOUISIANA USED MOTOR VEHICLE COMMISSION STATE OF LOUISIANA

REGULAR MEETING

DECEMBER 17, 2012

BEGINNING AT 9:33 A.M.

3132 VALLEY CREEK
BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA

REPORTED BY:
BETTY D. GLISSMAN, CCR

		Page	3
1	ALSO PRESENT:	·	
2			
3	MS. KIM BARON		·
4	MR. DEREK PARNELL		
5	MS. MONA ANDERSON		
6	MS. BECKY BERTRAND		
7	MS. TONYA BURKS		
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			;
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22	•		
23			
24			
25			

			Page	4
1	· (Ple	edge of Allegiance.)		
2	MR.	POTEET:		1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1
3		Roll call.		
4	MS.	BARON:		54544417145435
5		John Poteet?		200000000000000000000000000000000000000
6	MR.	POTEET:		2888888
7		Here.		27.00 27.00
8	MS.	BARON:		2000
9		George Brewer?		200000000000000000000000000000000000000
10	MR.	BREWER:		12-11-17-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-
11		Here.		C1315255231715
12	MS.	BARON:		(Louvestant Scores
13		Dino Taylor?		\$24244504 (1110) 419
14	MR.	TAYLOR:		121403105124455
15		Here.		nessuren arrasel
16	MS.	BARON:		1341511611846555
17		Tony Cormier?		abjacted straight of the
18	MR.	CORMIER:		12.04.14.14.14.14.14.14.14.14.14.14.14.14.14
19		(No response.)		22277787543257777
20	MS.	BARON:		teresmansem
21		Ron Duplessis?		***************************************
22	MR.	DUPLESSIS:	•	HONELL HONELES SE
23		Here.		1184849411181138
24	MS.	BARON:		25565548555544444
25		George Floyd?		***************************************
				COTEC N

			Page	5
1	MR.	FLOYD:		
2		Here.		
3	MS.	BARON:		
4		Kirby Roy?		
5	MR.	ROY:		
6		(No response.)		
7	MS.	BARON:		
8		Darty Smith?		
9	MR.	SMITH:		
10		Here.		
11	MS.	BARON:		
12		And Douglas Turner?		
13	MR.	TURNER:		
14		Here.		
15	MS.	BARON:		
16		Mr. Chairman, we have a		ļ
17	quorum.			
18	MR.	POTEET:		ļ
19		Has everybody had a chance to		ļ
20	read do we	have any public comments?		ļ
21	MS.	BARON:		ļ
22		No, sir.		
23	MR.	POTEET:		
24		No public comments. Okay.		
25		Everyone has had a chance to		ļ

next year. The balance in the accounts

25

- receivable hearings and fines account was \$92,150. At the bottom of the page, our current liabilities are \$37,414.
- On Page 2, you can see our

 deferred revenue. We have revenue for 2013

 and 2014 and we're slowly moving the 2013 to

 the income statement.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

On Pages 3 through 5 is the income statement compared to the budget of last year, this year. At the bottom of the page, the current month's revenue was \$235,837, which is almost identical to what it was this time last year. The year-to-date revenues were \$539,038, which is down slightly from last year at \$562,000. I think that most of that was due to -we're moving the deferred revenues over from the balance sheet into the income statement, the 2013 revenues, and we did that on a straight line basis, just divided it up between October through March and, actually, I think we're going to -- in the future, we'll probably kind of do more in October, November, December and less in the following year because I think that more closely

- 1 mimics how our fees flowed in the prior
- year. So that's why there's a differences
- 3 in the revenue.
- 4 MR. POTEET:
- 5 Well, once you have some
- 6 experience, you can do it on a proportioned
- 7 basis.
- 8 MS. ANDERSON:
- 9 Right.
- 10 MR. POTEET:
- It's hard to get what that's
- 12 going to be in advance.
- 13 MS. ANDERSON:
- We just didn't know that, you
- 15 know, originally when we did it. No one
- really had experience doing this.
- On Page 4, the -- well, the
- 18 salary expenditures are up about \$31,000
- 19 from last year. Part of that is additional
- 20 staff we added. Part of it is an increase
- in the retirement contribution that we have
- 22 to give. So, overall, there was an increase
- of about \$31,000. All the other
- 24 expenditures were slightly lower than last
- 25 year.

1	And on Page 5, the
2	year-to-date revenue over expenditures was
3	\$165,760, which is down some from the prior
4	year and, again, I think that's mostly due
5	to our revenue and the increase in the
6	salaries.
7	On the following page, on
8	Page 6, is the revenue and expenditure
9	comparison. You see our net income again of
10	\$165,760.
11	On Page 7 of the report is
12	our accounts receivable hearings report.
13	Again, the balance is \$92,150. Car Boyz was
14	added to the report in November.
15	And on the last page is our
16	CD report. That pretty much is the same as
17	it was last month. We've got a couple of
18	CDs at Concordia that are expiring in
19	January. I contacted Concordia and the rate
20	has gone down to point 55. So here we go.
21	MR. TURNER:
22	Geez.
23	MS. ANDERSON:
24	We'll be investigating to see
25	if we have some banks that can do a little

1	MR. POTEET:
2	The motion is approved.
3	All right. Mona, you can
4	jump right into the '13-'14 budget.
5	MS. ANDERSON:
6	Okay. This form is pretty
7	much as you saw it last year. We actually
8	entered this on the website for this fiscal
9	year. This is the 2013-'14 budget and just
10	this last year Pages 1 and 2 are pretty
11	much a recap of the rest of the budget. So
12	if you want to pull those out or whatever,
13	because we'll be referring back and forth to
14	those ongoing.
15	On BC-1, the proposed
16	budgeted income is \$1,195,616, which is
17	about a five percent increase over what
18	we're estimating for this year. Then,
19	moving on to the expenditure on the I'm
20	sorry, on the revenues, we don't generally
21	book a lot into the enforcement actions,
22	which are our hearings and fines, but
23	sometimes it is significantly different like
24	it was last year.
25	On BC-2, this gives you our

1 expenditures for the year and we'll be 2 flipping back and forth from that page. 3 This is a summary of it. Regarding the salaries, if you'll turn to -- it's BR-6 --4 5 I'm sorry, BR-6A is our salaries for the 6 Under the budgeted amount, we have 7 budgeted \$527,840. MS. BARON: 8 9 Hold on, Mona, we don't have 10 it. 11 MR. DUPLESSIS: 12 Go ahead. We'll understand. 13 MR. TURNER: 14 Let me ask you a question 15 while we're doing that. On BC-2, under 16 legal, \$80,000 is what we budgeted this 17 year? 18 MS. ANDERSON: 19 Yes, sir. We budget the 20 maximum on those, so that -- you know, the 21 maximum of the contract, and so we're not 22 anywhere near that. 23 MR. TURNER: 24 Actually, that's probably 25 where we are.

		rage 13
1	MS. ANDERSON:	
2	Yes, sir, but we always do	
3	that you know, if we got into a legal	
4	problem, we would want to have that in the	
5	budget.	
6	MR. TURNER:	
7	I think I asked that same	
8	question last year.	
9	MS. ANDERSON:	
10	I know it's kind of confusing	
11	because you're looking at what we actually	
12	did.	
13	MR. TURNER:	
14	The line right below that	
15	under other, \$32,000, and you're raising	
16	that in the next budget year to \$43,000.	
17	What does that encompass?	
18	MS. ANDERSON:	
19	Okay. The other charges are	
20	it's a number of things, but it's	
21	basically our accounting. If you turn to	
22	BC-10, \$3,000 of it is for our access to the	
23	driver's license database. We've got	
24	\$36,000 in there for a possible replacement	
25	of CAVU. We're going to be looking at	

1 specifications and getting guotes from 2 people to purchase that will probably 3 require that we pay something up front for 4 them to evaluate and make a proposal, and 5 then we're going to have to pay in addition to that to actually have it -- what a 6 7 company would change to actually develop it. 8 So we put that in that line item, and then 9 the \$4,000 is our other software -accounting software, our -- we've got Adobe 10 11 to work on our website, that kind of thing. 12 So everybody has got BR-6A 13 and, like I said, mostly what's in there 14 under the salaries, we had two -- I'm sorry, 15 three position changes and we also built a 16 four percent cost of living in there in case 17 that happens with the State. You know, we 18 don't know that this far in advance, but we 19 put things in contingent -- on a contingent 20 And, then, we also added a part-time 21 compliance investigator. I think Derek is 22 in negotiations with some people to hire 23 somebody maybe in January, and so then they 24 would go -- it's shown as half a year in the 25 actual 2012-'13 and the full year for

1 '13-'14.

In addition to that, the retirement and the group insurance both show decreases. We might not have that, but retirement I think you can pretty much bank on -- it's been about a three percent increase each year. They're trying to take care of that unfunded liability in LASERS. So we've had about a three percent increase every year.

Group insurance, nobody
really knows about that, but I budgeted a 10
percent increase just in case. It could
stay the same. It could decrease. But
they're going to privatize and I don't know
what would happen, you know, the next year,
whether they would go with Blue Cross,
again. But if we have an increase, then
we've already built that into the budget.

Okay. So all of that, that 527, when you roll forward to -- I'm sorry, when you go forward to the BC-6, which is right in front of that, you can see the salaries, \$527,840, and you see some overtime, Board compensation, so on and so

forth, and all the benefits. And that
figure down at the bottom carries forward to
BC-2 for our total salary and benefits of
\$858,726.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So moving on, the operating expenses are on BC-8. We pretty much did a 6.5 percent increase over the -- what we estimate for this year, no big changes in The miscellaneous category, this is the State's documents. So our financials have the miscellaneous category broken out and this does not, but that does include things like our merchant credit card fees, our criminal background check, bank fees, so and so forth. But at this point, you know, we're barely into this year. So, you know, to predict '13-'14, we pretty much just did an increase there. And, then, moving forward on BC-9 was where we were talking about our professional contracts. pretty much what they were for this year. We don't anticipate any changes in the contracts. We gave a little additional to -- Roy Hebert was only budgeted at \$2,000. The contract is more than that, but -- and

for our IT service contract, which was
really low, we moved it up to \$30,000. So
this is effective -- in July of next year is
when we would be looking to make this
change.

Again, the other charges were as we discussed earlier. We've got access to the driver's license database, the possibility of looking at a new program or, you know, if we have to continue with CAVU, then we would have the funds for that, and all of our auxiliary software and accounting software.

And on BC-11, the last page, our acquisitions and major repairs. We put \$1,000 into the acquisition for building grounds and general plant. Hopefully, we find a little bit more professional looking sign. We don't know how much we can anticipate for that.

And, then, adding -- the

\$17,000 was to add either an additional or a

replacement vehicle. All of our vehicles

are getting older. And, then, we added

\$10,000 in there for a replacement of any

1 office printers, furniture, you know, any 2 kind of equipment that we would have break 3 down there, if we had to purchase anything. And, then, down at the 4 5 bottom, the major repairs, we included contingent funds for repairs to vehicles, 6 7 the building, the parking lot. So all of 8 that folds up into BC-2. 9 Our proposed budgeted 10 expenditures for 2013-'14 are \$1,217,771. 11 And on the following page, you can see our 12 fund balance and an indication of what we 13 anticipate our net income and -- to be at 14 the end of the these two years. So unless 15 there are any questions, that concludes my 16 report. 17 Mr. Chairman, we need a 18 resolution to adopt the budget. 19 MR. POTEET: 20 We don't have to have a 21 resolution -- we don't -- but it's part of 22 the budget. So if you want, I will read it 23 out loud for everyone. Do you want to read 24 it? 25 MS. BARON:

		Page	19
1	I can.		
2	MR. POTEET:		
3	Do you want me to read it?		
4	MS. BARON:		
5	"Louisiana Used Motor Vehicle		
6	Commission, Resolution Adopting the	•	
7	2013-2014 Fiscal Year Operating Budget.		
8	Whereas, in order to receive		
9	approval of its proposed operating budget		
10	for the fiscal year which begins July 01,		
11	2013 and ends June 30, 2014, it is necessary		
12	for the Louisiana Used Motor Vehicle		
13	Commission to adopt a proposed budget based		
14	upon anticipated revenues and expenditures;		
15	and		
16	Whereas, the Commission,		
17	which operates solely on self-generated		
18	funds receives no State general funds;		
19	Therefore, Be It Resolved,		
20	that the attached detailed estimate of		
21	revenues for the fiscal year beginning July		
22	01, 2013 and ending June 30, 2014 be and the		
23	same is hereby adopted to serve as an		
24	Opening Budget of Revenues for the		
25	Commission; and		

1 Therefore, Be It Further 2 Resolved, that the attached detailed 3 estimate of expenditures for the fiscal year beginning July 01, 2013 and ending June 30, 4 5 2014, be and the same is hereby adopted to serve as an Opening Budget of Expenditures 6 7 for the Commission; and 8 Therefore, Be It Further 9 Resolved, that the adoption of this Budget 10 of Expenditures be and the same is hereby 11 declared to operate as an appropriation of 12 the amount therein set forth within the 13 terms of the budget classifications; and 14 Therefore, Be It Further 15 Resolved, the funds available for operating 16 expenditures are available only to the 17 extent such funds are included within the FY 18 2013/2014 Budget; and. 19 Therefore, Be It Further 20 Resolved, that the Commission's Executive 21 Director may authorize transfers between 22 line items of expenditures within a function 23 or department, provided such transfers shall 24 not exceed ten (10%) percent of the total 25 budget for the department or function.

1 MR. POTEET: 2 All right. The next thing we 3 have are legal matters and pending 4 litigation. 5 MR. HALLACK: 6 I think you have the two appeals on the agenda. One is the appeal of 8 Value Imports. We filed our brief. 9 just waiting for a decision from the Court 10 of Appeals on that. The other one is on A-1 Autoplex. We're filing an answer and 11 12 lodging the record soon, this week. 13 everything is under control there, too. 14 Yes, that's the stay order. It's in place 15 until January 7th until we have the hearing 16 on this matter. 17 MR. TAYLOR: 18 Robert, also, when I am back at home and I'm still having trouble 19 20 understanding this. Maybe it's because I'm 21 not very sharp. But did this whole -- in 22 order for these guys to able to get a stay 23 granted, the 30 days, and I know you've 24 walked me through it once, maybe twice, I'm

still having trouble understanding what we

25

can do about it in the future. Is there 1 2 anything we can do about it in the future, 3 as getting any stuff changed to where people can't get a stay granted as easily as 4 5 they're getting it right now because these people, they're still operating their 6 business, correct? 7 MR. HALLACK: 8 9 A-1 Autoplex, yes. 10 MR. TAYLOR: It bothers me and --11 MR. HALLACK: 12 13 Y'all didn't suspend their license. 14 You didn't suspend them or revoke 1.5 them. You just issued a fine. So they're 16 able to operate. 17 MR. TAYLOR: 18 Yes, that's correct. 19 MR. DUPLESSIS: 20 We suspended their license on 21 A-1, didn't we suspend them? 22 MS. BARON: 23 Until the fee was paid and 24 the fee hasn't been paid. 25 MR. TAYLOR:

		Page	24
1	The fee is still on the		
2	books.		
3	MS. BARON:		
4	Yes. They haven't paid the		
5	fine.		
6	MR. PARNELL:		
7	They haven't paid the fine.		
8	MR. DUPLESSIS:		
9	Right. And, then part of		
10	their restitution was to take care of all		
11	outstanding debts before they were		
12	reinstated and pay off the inventory that		
13	they had acquired illegally, which is on the		
14	books, the acquisition and disposition of		
15	used cars inventory, to take care of that		
16	before they can be reinstated. So there's		
17	actually kind of two fines pending.		
18	MR. HALLACK:		
19	Well		
20	MS. BARON:		
21	But doesn't the stay prevent		
22	us from doing it from allowing that? We		
23	have to allow him to be		
24	MR. HALLACK:		
25	Right. First of all, the		
1			

order that was issued, it was kind of

against the law. You said it was suspended

immediately. You can't suspend the license

immediately.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Under our law, our judgments, our orders, are not enforceable for 30 days after they have been mailed to the dealer. That's the way the law reads. So it's not enforceable for 30 days. During that time, the dealer has the opportunity to decide whether or not he's going to appeal it. that's what happened with A-1. Thev appealed it and they got a stay order. so their stay order would affect everything in that order whether it was a suspension, whether it was a fine, all of that, and it's set for a hearing on January 7th to try to break the order or see whether the judge is going to continue the order.

If it was just a fine, the judge would be more than likely willing to stay the order because it's just money, but being that he was suspended or if it was somebody that had been revoked, they would be less inclined to stay that type of order.

1 In the past, when we had a situation where 2 it was a fine only, we didn't enforce it 3 until the appeal had gone through. It's not 4 a lengthy process. It's generally something 5 we can get done in three to four months. And so with that thought, you know, we can 6 just let it ride until we can get the decision from the District Court. But if it 8 9 involved a suspension or revocation, we 10 would normally enforce that and that's what 11 we've done in the past. In this particular 12 situation, the judge went ahead and stayed 13 it with the hearing on the stay set for 14 January 7th. So, at that time, the court 15 will take evidence as to whether the stay 16 will continue or whether they'll dismiss the 17 stay. 18 MR. PARNELL: 19 I quess my question is, is 20 this something we legislatively need to look 21 at, a change in the language and the 22 statute, regarding the 30 days? I think 23 that's what you're kind of asking. 24 MR. TAYLOR: 25 Yes.

1	MR. HALLACK:
2	Well, you know
3	MR. TAYLOR:
4	Does it matter? I mean, will
5	it affect the process, will they still get
6	it granted after 30 days is not fair.
7	MR. HALLACK:
8	Well, they will still be able
9	to go get it, but there are situations in
10	which the judge does not have the authority
11.	to grant it without a hearing, and I think
12	that's what Ms. Morris had said at the last
13	meeting, that if it was enforceable from the
14	time they received it, then the judge, by
15	law, could not grant it without a hearing.
16	So that basically, if you have that in
17	effect now, if you changed the way the
18	statute is written like I said, right
19	now, the way it's written, it's
20	unenforceable until 30 days after he
21	receives the order.
22	Okay. If you change that to
23	say that it's enforceable at the moment that
24	he receives it, then a judge does not have
25	the leisure of granting it without a

1 The judge may still hold a hearing hearing. 2 and decide at the hearing whether or not to 3 enforce the decision. And a lot of that 4 will go -- a lot of what the judge will look at will be how well done was the decision, you know, just bare bones prima facie look 6 at what happened, you know, if it was pretty 7 obvious that the dealer had done something 8 9 wrong or maybe there was some error or 10 something happened, and they'll take those kind of things into consideration in 11 12 determining whether to grant a stay or not. 13 But I can promise you probably 90 percent of 14 the judges in Baton Rouge are going to go 15 ahead and grant a stay because it's just -it doesn't create a harm, you know. 16 17 harm would be requiring somebody to put up 18 \$20,000, and, then two or three months 19 later, reverse it and everybody would have 20 to give the money back. 21 Like I said, it's not that 22 long of a process because we can usually get 23 it done in two to four months. That's why 24 the courts let it go, you know. 25 MR. POTEET:

1	Let me ask a question here.	
2	Would this be the same analogy if you get	
3	caught speeding I'm driving down the	
4	highway and I'm doing 85 miles an hour and I	
5	get pulled over and they give me a ticket, I	
6	can still drive until that ticket is due. I	
7	haven't by signing the ticket, I don't	
8	admit guilt. Four weeks later it's been	
9	so long since I got ticket, so I don't know	
10	how long you have, but I'll probably get one	
11	today. So is it you know, let's say five	
12	weeks later, you have to pay that fine. So	
13	between the time you get the ticket and the	
14	time you go and pay the fine, you can	
15	decide, well, I'm not going to pay the fine,	
16	I'm going to go to court and fight this.	
17	MR. HALLACK:	
18	Right.	
19	MR. POTEET:	
20	Does that analogy apply here?	
21	MR. HALLACK:	
22	It's even closer to, like, a	
23	civil judgment. A civil judgment when	
24	you get a civil judgment against somebody	
25	and I just got one a couple months ago, and	

my client is real frustrated because I want 1 2 to get out there and get that money as 3 quickly as I can. Well, when you get a civil judgment like a money judgment, first 4 5 of all, they have seven days in which to apply for a re-hearing back to that court. 6 7 Okav. Value did that with us. They applied 8 for a re-hearing. So you have seven days in 9 which to apply for a re-hearing. Then, once 10 you -- after those seven days -- and let's 11 say you didn't apply for a re-hearing. Ιf you apply for a re-hearing, you'll have the 12 13 same thing even further down the road, but 14 let's say the seven days passes and you don't ask for a re-hearing, then you've got 15 16 30 days in which to file a suspensive 17 appeal, an appeal where you put up a bond, 18 but that suspends the effect of the judgment, but if you don't take a suspensive 19 20 appeal and you take a devolutive appeal 21 where you're required to actually pay the 22 judgment, you've got 60 days. It's just 23 really a time period in which the litigant 24 determines whether or not they want to 25 appeal it or not, you know.

1 Like in Value Imports, they 2 actually asked for an extension to put up 3 the money for the appeal. So they bought themselves a lot more time. 4 So it's just a 5 process by which you're giving time to the litigant to determine whether or not he's 6 7 going to file an appeal or pay the judgment. 8 That's what it's analogous to. To say that 9 it's -- if you go back and you re-write the legislation to say that it's enforceable at 10 the time, the dealer receives it, he can 11 12 still go to court and apply for a stay, and 13 I think 90 percent of the judges would grant 14 him a stay just for -- because it's a 15 process that you usually can get done rather 16 quickly. 17 MR. PARNELL: 18 But if he doesn't, it's 19 enforceable at the point when he receives 20 the judgment from us, right? 21 MR. HALLACK: 22 That's correct. And, like I 23 said -- I think I said this in the last 24 The best thing about that is where meeting. 25 they don't take an appeal and you can

7 immediately go get your money. Otherwise, 2 you have to wait 30 days to get your money. 3 MR. DUPLESSIS: 4 But, I mean, you know, it's a 5 good thing in a way because I've seen enough of this. If we were to find him quilty and 6 7 take him and put him out of business and he 8 was to win the appeal, then we would get a lawsuit of loss funds and revenue. 9 And, you 10 know, I don't think that's a lot of money, but you don't want the exposure, you don't 11 want the publicity, you don't want to be on 12 13 your heels paying defense lawyers. 14 MR. POTEET: 15 And we don't want to look 16 like we don't know what we're doing. 17 time something comes up in the press, it is 18 going to look like what are these guys 19 doing. 20 MR. DUPLESSIS: 21 Oh, yes, you run a muck. 22 MR. POTEET: 23 Just fine people right and 24 left. 25 MR. DUPLESSIS:

1 Yes. We don't want that.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You've got to hold your breath. I actually think that that part is a bitter pill to swallow is a good thing. Let me tell vou what frustrates me, and I think we need to improve our process where we can take care of some of that. You know, one of the things that we saw with him, and we need to address this legislatively, is the intercompany or interlocutory transfer of license. And that this is what we've seen in two or three cases. When they start to get in trouble, they shift over to their wives, and we saw one gentleman shift over to his daughter, who was, like, I don't know why I'm here and I'm not in the business. And that's actually a straw transfer and we can put an end to that pretty quickly.

The other thing we need to do is revoke his salesman's license, which is a much lower threshold than the whole dealership. So we could yank his salesman's license. What we do is we put a flag on it in the future to have him reinstated and to overcome those obstacles we've set in the

1 threshold that he has to fulfill in order to 2 get another license. So those are two 3 things that we can do to put a -- I would 4 highly recommend that you swallow the 30-day 5 pill because the other side -- the down side of it is not good, but within that 30 days, 6 7 we can still fine him. We can still bring 8 him in and if he's still doing those things 9 -- because that 30 days may be 60, 90 days 10 if they're smart, and we can still nail him, 11 still fine him, because he's still actively 12 in the business, but there are a couple of 13 things that we can do. If I were -- Sheri 14 and Robert are far more qualified to address 15 it than myself.

MR. POTEET:

17 Were you going to say

18 something?

16

20

21

22

23

24

25

19 MR. TAYLOR:

You know, it concerns me when we say three or four months is not a long period of time and we've all seen them and the damage that they do when they know they're going out in that three to four months is greater than the damage they've

1 done in the 10 years or five years or one 2 year of business, and that's to protect the It's painful to be able to see 3 consumer. these guys stay in business for another 5 three or four months. I don't know if 6 there's an answer to it, but it just 7 scratches on me all the time. 8 MR. DUPLESSIS: 9 I agree. 10 MR. POTEET: 11 I think that Ron has got a 12 good point. We can go ahead and go out 13 there and catch him doing the same thing 14 during this appeal process, right? 15 MR. HALLACK: 16 That's correct. 17 MR. POTEET: 18 I mean, he still has to 19 follow the laws and the guidelines that 20 we've presented. So I would think that we 21 -- between now and the time this comes to 22 court, if we say, you know, well, Your 23 Honor, here's what happened, we fined him 24 for this and oh, by the way, we also caught 25 him doing the same thing on December 10th

1 and December 18th and January 2nd, 3rd, 4th, 2 5th, 6th, and the 12th. So it actually 3 solidifies our case, but if we're going to do that, then we've got to make a -- I guess 4 5 a structural procedural type thing where our 6 investigators -- when we've got somebody 7 sitting out here is we watch them a little bit closer. 8 9 MR. TURNER: 10 We're doing that. 11 MR. POTEET: 12 There's no harassment on 13 that. 14 MR. TURNER: 15 We're doing that now. 16 MR. PARNETIL: 17 Every week -- an investigator 18 is visiting his location every week just to 19 ensure that -- to see what he's doing, you 20 know. 21 MR. DUPLESSIS: 22 Robert, what if we did --23 there may be a middle ground here, which 24 might be the best way, is if we get him, 25 and, then we take away his salesman's

- 1 license, could we be proactive in filing a 2 restraining order against him? That would 3 escalate a hearing rather quickly to show 4 the judge that we can put him out of 5 business for a reason, to protect the public. And our job here is to protect 6 7 honesty in the business, free trade, and 8 make sure the consumers -- the scales are 9 equal and if he is go egregious, we possibly could take the proactive move and file a 10 11 restraining order. 12 MR. HALLACK: 13 We could do that. If we find 14 that he's doing the same stuff that he's 15 always been doing or we find that he's writing hot checks again or he's taking cars 16 17 from dealers and not selling them, not 18 repaying the dealer, certainly, we could do 19 a temporary restraining order. I mean, 20 there's grounds for it within our law to do
- 22 MS. MORRIS:

something like that.

21

But here's the downside of that, and I look at things in time lines. We're not going to be meeting for two or three weeks. How could we self-authorize

the Executive Director to take that step to

move legal counsel forward to get the

restraining order in the meantime, or is

that even important to us?

MR. HALLACK:

Well, we have what we call the interlocutory seizure. It's a set order, which basically says that you have to cease what you're doing now. Upon receipt of the notice, you have to cease and desist, but you will have an opportunity at the next hearing date to show the Commissioners why it is that you should be able to continue doing your business in this manner.

We had that with Milford Lee.
We had a situation where there were some
violations, cars being sold from different
locations, stuff like that, and we set it up
for a cease and desist order, and what we
did was, we signed an order saying, you have
to cease doing it now, but you'll have an
opportunity to come before the Commission to
show why you should be allowed to continue
doing your activity. And he hasn't come

1 back in. So the cease and desist order 2 continues. And we've had investigators -and I think Mr. Parnell has actually gone by 3 4 there and I think the lot is pretty much 5 closed. MR. PARNELL: 6 7 Yes. 8 MR. HALLACK: 9 There are no cars for 10 display, no employees there, and so there's 11 been no rush to get it before you as 12 Commissioners on a hearing because the guy 13 has stopped doing his business. I think the investigator has gone by even the residence 14 15 -- not just the dealership location, but 16 he's gone by the residence to make sure he's 17 not displaying vehicles from his residence, 18 because I think that was the complaint, was 19 that he had sold a vehicle from his son's 20 residence. 21 MR. DUPLESSIS: 22 There is a way to get there. 23 MR. HALLACK: 24 Well, that's how we do it.

You've got to immediately stop doing it.

25

1 you want to take it to the Commission and 2 have it reversed, you can do it at the next Commission meeting, but it's an immediate 3 4 action on our part. You must cease doing 5 this activity immediately. MR. DUPLESSIS: 6 7 But that means the case has 8 to be put together in a timely manner. 9 MR. PARNELL: 10 Well, typically, it's already started. 11 MR. DUPLESSIS: 12 13 It's already started. That's 14 right. MR. HALLACK: 15 16 But before he signs the order 17 -- before he signs that order, he has to 18 have proof that something is going on. 19 think we did one on Damon -- we did a cease 20 and desist on Damon, but he came in and showed us that he had taken care of whatever 21 22 it was that he had been -- that the cease 23 and desist had been issued for. I don't 24 remember off the top of my head. 25 MR. PARNELL:

Because he was initially scheduled to come back in last month at the Commission meeting, but he did come in that week before and showed -- you were there with me. He showed that he had taken care of those issues that we found that were still outstanding, that were new issues since he had his hearing.

MR. HALLACK:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But he took care of it. So that's the way it's effective. We actually stopped him from doing it. He came in and showed that he was going to take care of it and that's what he did. So, you know, the benefit of removing the 30 days is not much benefit, not much benefit at all. One -the only benefit really is that you get an immediate judgment that you can enforce immediately as opposed to waiting 30 days. That's a situation where they don't take an appeal, or where they do take an appeal, they can still go to a judge and ask for a stay and depending on the language in the statute, the judge will either have to do -will either be able to do that in his office

- or he'll have to do it in a full blown 1 2 hearing, but it's just part of the appeal 3 process. 4 MR. POTEET: Does that make you feel 5 6 better or worse? 7 MR. TAYLOR: 8 So our best course of action 9 is to just make sure our investigators stay on top of them after we levy fines. 10 MR. POTEET: 11 You know, it seems to me in 12 13 the years I have been on the Commission, 14
- we've had more appeals lately. I don't know 15 if that's because these guys are just 16 fighting so hard or they think that we're 17 wrong and they're going to win because -- in 18 the end, we are going to win. I mean, if 19 they clean up their act, I quess that's a 20 good thing. We can get them in here and get 21 them straightened out, but if they don't, 22 they're not going to stay in business.
- MR. HALLACK:
- Yes. I don't know what the motivation would be for Value Imports. The

1 evidence was pretty clear on Value Imports. 2 He was not delivering the title within 60 3 I mean -- so he went through one days. judge in the 19th Judicial District. He's a 5 fairly fair judge and, you know, he affirmed They decided to take it to 6 our decision. the First Circuit. I think it was -- I 7 think originally the dealer believed that if 9 he kept appealing it that he could keep 10 doing his business until we told him, no, 11 you can't do it, you didn't ask for a stay, 12 so you can't do it. 13 It's amazing the number of 14 lawyers who don't know of the stay 15 mechanism. It's not automatic. You know, 16 when you appeal a judgment from District 17 Court it's stayed if you file a suspensive, 18 but not if you file a devolutive. You have 19 to allow them the course of appeal, but you 20 just have to stay on it and make sure it 21 gets done as quickly as possible. 22 MR. TAYLOR: 23 I promise not to ask you 24 again next month. 25 MR. HALLACK:

		Page 44
1	No. That's okay.	
2	MR. POTEET:	
3	It is a good discussion.	
4	MR. HALLACK:	
5	Like I said, I've got a	
6	client that's got a civil money judgment and	
7	they're aggravated as they can be.	
8	MR. POTEET:	
9	They can't get their money.	
10	MR. HALLACK:	
11	They can't get their money, I	
12	want it, I want my money.	
13	MR. POTEET:	
14	Well, I think that the	
15	conclusion, the bottom line, of all this is	
16	that once we do have somebody that's in a	
17	position of appealing, we have to stay on	
18	top of it. If we really think they're bad	
19	guys, I mean, that's the only alternative we	
20	have. We can't just let them say, okay,	
21	well, we fined them, now we don't need to	
22	worry about them, anymore.	
23	MR. TAYLOR:	
24	Okay. Thank you.	
25	MR. POTEET:	

1 Thank you.

2 All right. Let's see.

3 Executive Director's report is the next

4 thing on the agenda.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. PARNELL:

Okav. The report this month is -- I'm going to start with the review of complaint totals. If you'll turn with me in your packets, you will find the alleged issue counts. There were 74 alleged issues for the month of November. Twenty-one of those issues were requests for refunds. Typically, it's higher for non-delivery of title and requested refunds. The second report that you'll find is a case report, which shows that for the month of November, 57 cases were assigned to our field investigators. Of those 57 cases, 13 of those cases were completed, which leaves 44 of those cases open. We still -- if you'll turn with me to the next page showing the department summary report, it shows that -it illustrates there's a total 42 total cases that were closed for the month. always, we have cases that may take a little

bit longer to get a resolution to, but what we've been doing here in the office, it's helped out a great deal. We kind of split some of the duties in the office as it relates to processing the complaint forms, making sure that we're getting things out more efficient. We are able to get out all information. Once a complaint is closed -at one point, we were kind of dragging behind a little bit. When we closed the cases out, we weren't always getting to them to send out notification to the complainant and to let them know that the complaint was closed and this was the findings of the case that we've gone through.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Moving forward starting in

January, I want to expound much more on what
information I'm giving you during our
complaint totals when we talk about
compliance and investigation because there's
a lot more that goes on that I really want
you all to really know and understand that's
going on out there other than just the basic
numbers. I started that some months back,
but I really want to get back to that, so we

- can really grasp exactly what's going on.
- 2 Although, I do have four investigators out
- 3 there, it's amazing to see, you know, how
- 4 much they're able to get accomplished
- 5 throughout the State with just that, that
- 6 small group.

7 As I said before, moving into

January, hopefully I'll be able to get my

9 part-time person on. She is very -- she has

10 been in state -- with the Office of Motor

11 Vehicles for over 20 years. She's retired

from them. She is looking to come on and do

some work with us. I think it's going to be

a great benefit for us, but also in the

future, I'm still looking to increase our

size, field investigation, because we do

need to be more proactive in what we're

doing. The reverse is being completely

19 reactive.

16

17

18

22

The next item on the

21 Director's report is the licensing update.

We've been doing very well this year. Since

I've been here, this is the best we've done.

Of course, we have half of the licenses to

25 license this year, but with the addition of

- staff and everything -- you know, normally,
- 2 looking at it in the past, we had two
- 3 persons working that since I have been here
- and we'll be at least 40 to 60 days behind.
- 5 Right now, we're on December 17th and
- 6 they're working, like, on December 13th and
- December 10th. So, you know, that's huge
- 8 from what we've been doing in the past for
- 9 dealers.
- 10 MR. TURNER:
- It's almost a miracle.
- MR. PARNELL:
- 13 Yes. It's a huge improvement
- on what we've done, but we have a long way
- to go to really get this place as systematic
- and as efficient as it can be and we're
- 17 going to continue to work towards that and
- continue to try to move around persons in
- 19 the office to better fit certain areas and
- just grow from there. Pretty much, that's
- 21 my -- the end of my report. If anybody has
- 22 any questions or comments, please --
- MR. POTEET:
- I have a question. Refresh
- 25 my memory. Why are we only hiring this

1 person part-time, why not full-time? 2 MR. PARNELL: 3 Well, for her -- specifically her, she's retired with the State of Louisiana and she really just needs only to 6 work part-time. For me, it's kind of 7 helping me out a little bit more with the 8 situation with my investigator in the Baton 9 Rouge area. She hasn't been able to really 10 be out there because of illness. So T still 11 plan to bring on one full-time employee as 12 well, but --13 MR. POTEET: 14 In addition to her. 15 MR. PARNETT: 16 In addition, ves. With her, 17 it's going to be such a huge benefit, you 18 know, her knowledge base and her skill set 19 and helping out in this area and the Lake 20 Charles area. And, number two, I don't have 21 to pay benefits and all that other stuff. 22 So I have her work 30 hours, that will save 23 us a lot of money. 24 MR. POTEET: 25 Doesn't she also have some --

1 I'm trying to remember our conversation 2 Doesn't she have some negative earlier. 3 consequence in her retirement if she took a full-time --4 5 MR. PARNELL: 6 If she did, yes, it can be. 7 You know, that's what we're kind of working 8 on right now. She's also talking with 9 LASERS on what to do. To reinstate back 10 from the State service, it can take effect 11 on your retirement if you're already 12 collecting retirement. So it's a certain 13 amount of hours that she can work and 14 there's a certain amount of wage that she 15 can make per hour. We'll try to keep her wages low, so we won't pay too much. 16 17 sounds great to me. It's the kind of 18 situation where she's only retired because her husband was ill and she needed to take 19 20 care of him, but she really wants to get 21 back and she asked me two years ago about 22 potentially coming on here because she 23 wasn't happy with Motor Vehicle. 24 MR. POTEET: 25 But we're still going to make

Page 51 1 an attempt to hire another full-time 2 investigator soon? 3 MR. PARNELL: 4 As soon as we can, yes. 5 goal is to do that. 6 MR. POTEET: 7 By the end of the first 8 quarter, hopefully? MR. PARNETT: 9 I'm striving for that. 10 11 MR. POTEET: 12 I don't want to put you on 13 the spot, but --MR. PARNELL: 14 15 Yes. We went through that 16 process last time, probably about six months 17 ago, and like I say, I want to wait a little 18 while and maybe the pool could change up a 19 little bit going through that process, two or three hundred resumes we had. 20 I only 21 found maybe two or three and we went through 22 the interviewing process. Two of them 23 weren't nowhere near what I'm looking for to 24 actually fit. One was -- I thought was 25 actually really perfect, but she wanted too

1 much money. So we can't mess with her. 2 That's kind of basically --3 MR. TAYLOR: We're pretty tough. 4 5 salesman interview takes about three 6 minutes. That's why my turnover is pretty 7 high. MR. PARNELL: 8 But, you know, we have to 9 10 -- as I said, we have to get more proactive 11 in investigating and being out there 12 for -- and just change the face of the 13 agency holistically, you know, and just being out there. The word has gotten around 14 15 that we've stiffened up what we've been 16 doing. I think that's a huge help, but 17 I think if we had more investigators out there kind of touching -- dealing with 18 19 complaints and talking to dealers more 20 often, I think it would benefit them far 21 more, you know, because some dealers have not gotten anyone to talk to them in maybe 22 23 two years. 24 MR. POTEET: 25 How many dealers do we

1 -- at least, if we're going through the 2 process of thinking about investigators, you 3 know, my personal opinion, we might even need two more, but, you know, that's a lot 4 5 of dealers across a large expansive 6 territory for three or four people to handle. 7 8 MR. PARNELL: 9 And that's -- also, you have to take some relief off of some of them 1.0 11 because I have -- some of my investigators 12 are driving a lot of miles, you know, coming 13 from north Louisiana all the way down here 14 every week -- every other week or weekly, 15 you know. That's kind of taxing on those 16 individuals and our vehicles as wells. 17 MR. POTEET: 18 Right. Okay. 19 The next thing is the 20 discussion on the 2013 legislative session. 21 Ron. 22 MR. DUPLESSIS: 23 We've set the meeting and I 24 guess it's going to be here in our office 25 because basically -- the last couple of

- 1 years, we had this big room but they didn't
- show up. So I think we can handle it here.
- 3 The date is, what, the 17th?
- 4 MS. BARON:
- 5 The 10th.
- 6 MR. DUPLESSIS:
- 7 The 10th of January at one
- 8 o'clock.
- 9 Basically, I think we need to
 10 do some in-house cleanup with promulgation
- of rules and take our policy to rules and
- 12 timing of that. I may have to count on
- 13 Sheri because I'm not sure we want to put
- out a lot of information until after the
- session is at least halfway or over because
- it creates confliction in your legislative
- package. Basically, a lot of what we're
- going to see, I think, coming out of that
- meeting. We're going to also have to look
- 20 at cleaning up our statutes of interoffice
- 21 transfer of the license from, if you will,
- 22 traditional husband to wife or something of
- that nature because we really don't have
- 24 that reaching power right now to say
- 25 shutdown an operation. What we are faced

with is kind of what you're looking at is a 7 2 salesman who is creating all the problems 3 while the true dealership ownership is in the name of a wife, who is not -- or a 4 5 spouse or someone who is not actually active in the business. We've researched it. 6 So 7 there is a way to handle that, so we can В bring that to closure. And, basically, we 9 have to watch the salesman's license to make 10 sure that if we're going to shut an entity 11 down, that we do it directly. 12 The other aspect is the 13 dealer plates, which if you're going to get 14 to -- if you're going to get to your 4,000 15 number, the way to do is to not issue dealer

dealer plates, which if you're going to get to -- if you're going to get to your 4,000 number, the way to do is to not issue dealer plates in excess of the salesmen's license. I think a lot of guys right now are not licensing their sales people. So we need to take a close look at that. Last session, we had the benefit of Motor Vehicle changing the color of the plates and now that gives us direct identification of these plates.

And I think we need to follow that.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We also need to have a meeting with the New Motor Vehicle and

7 Department of Motor Vehicle to see what's on 2 their plate. That's actually an interstate 3 agreement that we all hooked up, what, seven, eight -- 10 years ago that we're 4 5 required to meet with them and see what's on their agenda and either support their 6 legislation or modify their legislation to 8 make them aware of what we're facing or we 9 may actually have to play the other side of 10 legislation playing defense, not offense and 11 make sure that no one is passing onerous 12 laws towards the Commission, like attaching 13 our Commission last year to the Department 14 of Revenue or whoever it was. So we have to 15 always play defense.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I guess the other gorilla that we see in the closet is basically the rent-to-own insurance and how we're going to approach that and come together again to see how we make it conducive for the consumer and the dealer, but still protect the public. So it's a complicated situation, not one that I think that I see clearly. So I think everybody is going to have to work on that.

1 MR. PARNELL:

2	I just want to make a quick
3	comment on RTO insurance, rent-to-own
4	insurance. Recently, within the past two
5	weeks, we did receive about, what is it, 10
6	insurance policies from an agent. We're
7	still researching the matter. What we found
8	is it seems as though that there is one
9	other insurance carrier out there, I think
10	Landshire Insurance, but the declaration
11	page and the information reads the exact
12	same as it did with Great American and the
13	insurance companies that are out here that
14	are with the State, and this company is with
15	the State. It is an admitted carrier it
16	is admitted within the State. So,
17	hopefully, we're going to try to get some
18	finality with that this week on whether or
19	not that is an admitted carrier that our
20	dealers can use now. If so, that is one
21	that is not required to be part of the any
22	of those associations. It's a completely
23	separate entity from what we're gathering
24	thus far.
25	We did reach out to the

		rage or
1	Department of Insurance. We're going to	
2	gather some more information from them. His	
3	first initial response was that it seems as	
4	though it is it is an admitted carrier,	
5	but it seems as though that this is one that	
6	we will be able to use in the future. So I	
7	think it will help a great deal as far as	
8	RTO, what we do in the future for	
9	legislation, what we do in relation to	
10	allowing dealers to go with, for example,	
11	Lloyds of London. This just adds another	
12	alternative to where we can still insure	
13	that they are with an admitted carrier.	
14	MR. DUPLESSIS:	
15	That cures that.	
16	MR. TURNER:	
17	What if the admitted carriers	
18	are double the prices of Lloyds of London?	
19	MR. PARNELL:	
20	I don't think that's the	
21	case.	
22	What did you find out?	
23	MS. BARON:	
24	She didn't send nothing.	
25	MR. PARNELL:	

1	We'll get more information on
2	that. I don't think it is a large increase
3	because it's, like, 10 dealers who just
4	submitted their paperwork for license this
5	year. So if it was an increase, then we
6	wouldn't have had that many dealers wanting
7.	to use this insurance.
8	MR. POTEET:
9	That's true. The other thing
10	that I'm curious about, have we heard
11	anything from our friends over there?
12	MR. PARNELL:
13	I have not heard anything.
14	MR. POTEET:
15	I mean, I guess we need to
16	keep our ears open and find out what they're
17	up to, you know, if anything comes up that
18	we're going to have to deal with there.
19	Ron, have you heard anything?
20	MR. DUPLESSIS:
21	Not at all. And if Landshire
22	is a viable option, then we have to play
23	defense, even if we have a play on the
24	table.
25	MR. POTEET:

That's what I'm concerned 1 2 about is if they're going to blind side us. 3 MR. DUPLESSIS: I think it's coming. 4 5 MR. POTEET: 6 We know we're going to be 7 blind sided. We just don't know where it's 8 coming from. 9 MR. DUPLESSIS: 10 Are they admitted in the 11 State with that type of policy? 12 MR. PARNELL: 13 Yes. We're just trying to 14 get final word from the company themselves. 15 I want it in writing from them. 16 Department of Insurance -- when I go down to 17 the Department of Insurance website, it 18 shows the exact same -- its coverages as the 19 two companies that are out there right now, 20 Great American and International Interstate. 21 So from the outside, from what I'm looking 22 at now, it does look like it is a hundred 23 percent admitted carrier that we'll be able 24 to use, but I still want to wait until we 25 get final documentation from the Department

Page 62 1 of Insurance before we go ahead and accept 2 these. 3 MR. POTEET: Officially. 4 5 MR. PARNELL: 6 Yes. I want something a 7 little bit more solid. Even from the insurance company themselves, I know they're 8 9 still having a lot of issues from the hurricane. I think their offices were still 10 11 They lost a lot of stuff, but I -down. they're north -- in the New York area is 12 13 where their company is based out of. So I 14 just want to make sure that -- before we 15 move any further with that, I want to make 16 sure that I have good, clean, clear 17 documentation that this is an admitted 18 carrier that does carry this type of 19 insurance and we have another avenue, which 20 I think is amazing. MR. POTEET: 21 22 I think you're right to get 23 that. 24 MR. PARNELL: 25 It's just a touchy situation

and it has been for so long. 1 2 MR. POTEET: 3 What time is that meeting going to be on January 10th? 4 5 MR. PARNELL: Ten o'clock. 6 7 MR. DUPLESSIS: 8 Mr. Chairman, I think we 9 ought to form a small committee of Commissioners to look at everything out 10 I don't see anything out there. 11 there. Ι 12 see a lot of what's brought to us, but these 13 are the practitioners in the business and I 14 think they need to take a strong look at 15 what's going on in the industry. 16 MR. POTEET: 17 Okay. 18 MR. DUPLESSIS: 19 And that would be following 20 the meeting after we bring conclusion and 21 get a legislative package. 22 MR. POTEET: 23 You're talking about a couple 24 of the dealers? 25 MR. DUPLESSIS:

1 Yes. And, actually, we can 2 do it after the Commission meeting. That 3 would be following. So we would have plenty of time to get a report to the Commission 4 5 and bring other issues up. MR. HALLACK: 6 7 I don't know where we are in our e-mail notification, but if that's 8 simple enough, we can e-mail an agenda to 9 10 the dealers in plenty of time for them to 11 think about what they would like to see and 12 participate. 13 MR. DUPLESSIS: 14 You could get the word out 15 through LIADA. 16 MR. HALLACK: 17 I remember years ago when we 18 tried to put a law into effect into the 19 books. Basically, we were going to put 20 Policy 60 in the book to the effect that you 21 cannot transfer a license to your immediate 22 family or to a business associate after you had lost a license or it had been revoked, 23 24 suspended or whatever, and we had a 25 Commissioner that was vehemently opposed to

1 doing that. 2 MR. POTEET: 3 Is that considered a 4 restraint of trade, I mean, is there some 5 sort of --MR. HALLACK: 6 7 No. It's still 8 discretionary. I mean, it's in there, but 9 it's whether you want to enforce it or not. 10 It's up to the Commissioners. It only 11 stands for a potential denial of a license. 12 MR. DUPLESSIS: 13 Well, you have straw -- you 14 have straw laws all over the place, you 15 know, straw purchase of a gun, of credit, of 16 anything. I mean, clearly, the courts have 17 ruled that the person that represents 18 themselves as the taker is the taker, and I 19 don't think -- if you go into the straw 20 laws, you're completed insulated. 21 MR. POTEET: 22 You mentioned a committee. Ι 23 would like to say instead of a committee of 24 people, why don't we all sort of volunteer 25 to stay on top of this stuff and talk to

1 dealers and get the information in because, 2 you know, I see dealers -- well, I'll see 3 them one -- two times by January 10th, but we're always talking to those of us in the 4 5 auction business you're talking to pretty regularly, but also you guys are talking to 6 each other and other -- you're doing 7 8 transactions or talking. So let's just sav 9 all of us are on that committee. Let's do 10 whatever we can to kind of sniff out what's 11 needed. I know the first thing is going to 12 be curbstoning. I already know that. 13 don't have to talk to anybody else. That's 14 the first thing that always comes up. 15 MR. HALLACK: 16 That's something we will have 17 to get with the Office of Motor Vehicles. 18 MR. POTEET: 19 I think there are still some 20 things we could do there. But along the 21 discussion of curbstoning, I think it's more

A Committee of the Comm

MR. HALLACK:

22

23

24

25

rules.

We've just got to find a way to --

of an enforcement thing than it is coming up

with new rules. I mean, we've got enough

1	To help us enforce it. It
2	would be nice if they had to put some kind
3	of information in that car to identify who's
4	selling it, at least the VIN number. Don't
5	cover up the VIN number. Do something to
6	identify who is selling that car.
7	MR. POTEET:
8	But, I mean, the problem with
9	that is, if somebody is curbstoning already,
10	and, then they're doing all these other
11	things they shouldn't be doing, I mean
12	George doesn't do that on his lot and you
13	guys that are licensed don't do that kind of
14	thing. That's what I'm saying. You've got
15	to find somebody to find where the
16	curbstoner is before you worry about what
17	is he covering up the VIN number, is he
18	doing well, that's a discussion for
19	later.
20	Our next Commission meeting
21	is not going to be on the regular day.
22	MS. BARON:
23	It's on the 28th.
24	MR. POTEET:
25	The 28th.

1 MR. PARNELL:

2 I want to give one more

3 update, if I will, the thing I was talking

4 with you about a little bit earlier.

MR. POTEET:

6 Yes.

5

7

8

11

12

13

14

16

17

20

21

MR. PARNELL:

9 Morris and I, we met with Steve Duke, who is 10 the Executive Director for the Louisiana

Manufacturing and Housing Association, which

is right up the street, actually. He's also

Last week, Counsel Sheri

a lobbyist as well. We met with he and Chip

Deshotel. What he is, is he was a President

of the Scrap Dealers Association. What

happened last year during the Legislature,

there was probably about nine or 10 bills

18 that were out there about scrap dealers

19 themselves, and Steve Duke was one of those

bills that was out there. And what they're

trying to do is -- this is an industry that

is currently not being licensed from what I

gather and what I understand. What they're

24 trying to do is find an agency that will

25 license and regulate scrap dealers in the

1 entire state.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 We kind of met with them just trying to feel them out to see what they're 3 4 talking about or what they're asking about. 5 We found out there's about 75 or so scrap dealers around the entire state. 6 What thev 7 did was, we just -- it was an informal 8 meeting. We were just kind of -- just 9 talking, what may be the pros and cons of 10 this. What we have to do is kind of put 11 together a little proposal for us. I told 12 him prior to the January 10th meeting, maybe 13 we could request it at that point, but just 14 a proposal to kind of talk about what it is 15 that they're looking for of an agency, which -- basically, look into someone to regulate 16 17 that industry. 18

So as an agency ourselves, we need to really kind of maybe look at what it is that we will accept, but we probably wouldn't know that until we really look at the proposal that they're presenting to us.

Just as a side bit of information, I just wanted you to know what we're kind of looking at right now. I don't

1 know if it's necessarily beneficial for us 2 We'll have to look at right now or not. 3 that and get the numbers for it. 4 MR. DUPLESSIS: 5 That's going to take 6 legislation, I think, to do that. 7 MR. PARNELL: 8 Absolutely. 9 MR. DUPLESSIS: 10 And, then, we'll have to have 11 a representative number of people on the 12 Commission. 13 MR. PARNELL: 14 Yes. 15 MR. POTEET: 16 We also -- the kind of fear that we were talking about earlier is that 17 -- let's just say 50 or 100 scrap dealers in 18 19 the state that have never been regulated. 20 So it's going to be -- in the beginning, it 21 would be kind of like herding cats. They're not going to -- you know, when you walk up 22 23 and say, well, we are from the government, 24 we're here to help you, they're not going to 25 believe one word of that. But still I think

- it's something we should at least entertain.
- 2 MR. TURNER:
- Well, certainly, as a car
- dealer, I don't want to be associated with
- 5 scrap metal.
- 6 MR. HALLACK:
- 7 Years ago, we used to license
- 8 and regulate scrap dealers. We still have
- 9 the definition of a scrap dealer in our
- 10 book.
- MR. BREWER:
- We've run into a problem here
- 13 lately of buying vehicles that have a
- 14 previous salvage title. Somehow, we're
- going to have to warn the dealers to check
- 16 -- Autocheck or Casey and Casey or
- something. I bought a \$10,000 Ford truck
- from a Mississippi dealer. It has a good
- 19 Mississippi title. I ran it through your
- 20 auction and I found out that it had a
- 21 previous Alabama salvage title. I'm stuck
- 22 with this \$10,000 truck. I don't know what
- 23 the hell to do with it, but this is
- 24 happening more and more. I had three this
- 25 month just to me.

1 MR. POTEET:

2	That's why we at the auction
3	that the vehicles that are consigned to our
4	auction goes through Autocheck. In fact, if
5	it's an institutional vehicle, it goes
6	throughout Autocheck twice. What we do is,
7	we run it through when it comes onto our
8	lot, and, then because, obviously
9	well, in some cases, they get sold pretty
10	quick, but sometimes they're there as long
11	as three, four or five weeks, in the process
12	to get a title. Then, we run it through
13	Autocheck, again. We run our everything
14	that's consigned gets run throughout
15	Autocheck sometime between Monday morning
16	and the point that it comes in on Tuesday,
17	even the cars that come in at the last
18	minute. We've had dealers that tried to do
19	that. At one time, they knew that we were
20	only running the Autocheck until 8:30 in the
21	morning. I don't know how they found that
22	out. So, now, we just it is not hard to
23	do, we run a separate report. But
24	everything we have, we run through Autocheck
25	for that very reason because that's the only

1 way you're going to find out. 2 MR. BREWER: 3 Well, we buy about 300 cars a month. So it's kind of hard to check 4 5 all these things coming in. This was bought 6 over the telephone and we paid for it and it 7 had a good Mississippi title, but --MR. POTEET: 8 9 After the meeting, I'll help 10 you on what can be done there. 11 MR. BREWER: 12 It looks like it's becoming a 13 problem. 14 MR. DUPLESSIS: 15 There's an alternative to 16 that. 17 MR. BREWER: 18 It's becoming a pretty big 19 problem. A lot of cars that were damaged, 20 the customer is actually buying these cars 21 back, then trading them in. Some of the 22 dealers are kind of getting caught with 23 them. 24 MR. POTEET: 25 Well, one of the things that

y
У
У
ce
of
ng
i.
e
1

- 1 having to come back in to Louisiana and get
- 2 with the Department of Motor Vehicles.
- 3 We're having to call the dealer. They're
- 4 having to issue a reconstructed Louisiana
- 5 title to the dealer, and, then he has to
- 6 sign it over to the Mississippi resident,
- 7 and, then Mississippi will transfer it into
- 8 their name. But they will not transfer a
- 9 salvage title.
- MR. BREWER:
- If I have a clean Mississippi
- 12 title, can I sell that in Louisiana or is it
- 13 going to be flagged?
- 14 MS. BARON:
- 15 It's not -- I've had people
- that have bought vehicles that Mississippi
- has washed the titles and they wanted to go
- 18 back on the dealer because they found out
- 19 later that it was a salvage and there's not
- 20 a whole lot we can do about because the
- 21 dealer didn't know that it was a salvage,
- and you've got to kind of go back, you know,
- through the chain and see if everybody can
- get their money back. But a lot of times,
- we can't enforce that because we don't know,

1 because they've washed that title. 2 MR. POTEET: 3 You are not likely to have 4 that kind of problem happen at the auction, because of what we do. We found out. 5 6 MR. BREWER: 7 I saw it on the report. Я MR. POTEET: 9 You found out too late. 10 MS. BARON: 11 That's the way the consumers 12 are finding out, but if you sell a salvage 13 title to a Mississippi resident, then it is 14 going to come back. You're going to have to 15 come back and make it a reconstructed title 16 before the Mississippi resident can get it 17 registered in Mississippi. MR. DUPLESSIS: 18 19 That's something that can be 20 handled with the two departments of Motor 21 Vehicle, but you can jump chain all the way 22 back to the person that originally scrubbed 23 the title and you can get your money back 24 from them. 25 MS. BARON:

Shreveport, but, you know, if it wouldn't

25

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 1 2 3 I, BETTY D. GLISSMAN, Certified Court Reporter, Certificate No. 86150, in 4 and for the State of Louisiana, do hereby certify that the Louisiana Used Motor 6 7 Vehicle Commission December 17, 2012 meeting was reported by me in the stenotype 8 9 reporting method, was prepared and 10 transcribed by me or under my personal 11 direction and supervision, and is a true and correct transcript to the best of my ability 12 13 and understanding. 14 This January 2, 2013, Baton Rouge, Louisiana. 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 BETTY D. GLISSMAN, CCR 24 CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER 25